Student-Centered High School Math Teaching: An Up-Close Look
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Today’s Goals

• To describe the design and key findings from a study of student-centered high school math teaching
• To gather feedback from practitioners about implications from the study to their ongoing work
• To discuss directions for future research
Study Rationale & Design

Kirk Walters, Principal Investigator
Student-centered teaching

• Broad construct
• Study focuses on instructional opportunities that promote active student engagement in learning mathematics
  – Informed from prior research in math education over past 25 years (e.g., NCTM Standards, Adding It Up, Common Core)
• Full framework described in next section
Why this study?

- 21st century, STEM-driven economy demands workers who can solve complex problems, work together and communicate

- Student-centered math instruction considered promising but not well understood
  - Earlier SC reforms haven’t been fully successful

- High school teachers may be less open to student-centered approaches
  - Evidence base especially thin at the high school level
Highly-Regarded Teachers

- Strong reputations of helping students succeed
  - Confirmed by referrals, interviews, observations
- Maintained supportive learning environments
- Had different types of math teaching approaches
Research Questions

1. What are different ways in which highly regarded high school mathematics teachers implement student-centered instructional practices?
   - Role of teaching philosophy and instructional environment
   - Student perceptions of different types of approaches

2. Are there differences in student engagement and problem-solving skills that are associated with the degree to which student-centered instructional practices are implemented?
Design

- Mixed methods design involving sample of 22 highly-regarded teachers in New England and New York
- Case study (7 teachers) designed to answer first research question
- Quantitative study (7 case study teachers + 15 non-case study teachers) designed to answer second research question
Sample

• Among study’s 22 teachers, 11 were initially identified as more traditional and 11 as more student-centered

• Identification measures included surveys, instructional leader referrals & observations (case study candidates)
Sample

Schools
- Urbanicity: ~50% rural, ~25% urban, ~25% suburban
- Poverty: ~30% FRPL rate

Teachers
- Experience: ~70% had 11+ years of experience
- Gender breakdown: ~75% female, ~25% male
Rationale

- “Student-centered” refers to an array of instructional approaches
- We were interested in the features of student-centered instruction that focus on mathematical activity
- We developed a framework that distinguished between features of student-centered instruction that apply to
  - The general classroom environment
  - The way in which students interact with the mathematics
Classroom Environment
Supportive Learning Environment
• Respectful
• Strong relationships
• Focus on the individual – scaffolding, differentiation and choice
Classroom Environment
Supportive Learning Environment
• Respectful
• Strong relationships
• Focus on the individual – scaffolding, differentiation and choice

Mathematics Instruction
Meaningful Engagement with Mathematics
• Use mathematical reasoning to understand the “why” as well as the “how”
• Communicate mathematical thinking and critique the reasoning of others
• Make connections between and among mathematical concepts and real-world contexts
• Engage and persevere in solving mathematical problems that extend beyond rote application of procedures
Case Study Findings

Toni Smith
## Data Sources: Case Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Nature of Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Instructional logs</td>
<td>Instructional practices implemented throughout a week of instruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classroom videos</td>
<td>Instructional practices implemented in lessons where a new mathematics concept is introduced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher interview</td>
<td>Perceptions of teaching context, philosophy mathematics teaching and learning, instructional practices, and challenges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student focus groups</td>
<td>Perceptions of their experiences in math class and factors contributing to success in math</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Approaches to Math Instruction

- Analysis of video and log data focused on two common parts of math lessons:
  - **Development of new mathematics** - Students are first presented with and time is spent fully developing a new mathematics concept, relationship, rule or procedure.
  - **Reinforcement of mathematics learning** - Students have the opportunity to strengthen their understanding of and skill with mathematics content
We observed differences in the frequency and ways in which students contribute to the development of the new mathematical ideas:

- Teacher-guided with some student contribution
- Teacher-guided with strong student contribution
- Active student exploration
Examples

- Teacher-guided with some student contribution
  - Teacher guides the development by focusing on the conceptual underpinnings, making connections to students’ lives, and posing low-level questions

- Teacher-guided with strong student contribution
  - Teacher guides the development by presenting students with a series of mini-explorations and provides opportunities for students to share their thinking

- Exploration, with strong student contribution
  - Teacher engages students in a carefully designed exploration where students work together to reason about and develop the new mathematical ideas
Reinforcement of Mathematics

- Observed differences in
  - Mathematics **problems** offered to students
  - Mathematical **communication** around those problems
Problem: Rote Application

Solve by substitution:

\[ y = -x + 2 \]
\[ y = -\frac{1}{2}x + 1 \]
Faced with the system of equations shown here, two students, Lincoln and Claire, both decided to use the substitution method to find the solution(s).

There are errors in the work of both Lincoln and Clare, but one of them was “lucky” and got the correct solution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lincoln's Method</th>
<th>Claire's Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( x = -3 - y ) So,</td>
<td>( y = -3 - x ) So,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 5(-3 - y) - y = -15 )</td>
<td>( 5x - (-3 - x) = -15 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-15 - y - y = -15 )</td>
<td>( 5x + 3 - x = -15 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-15 - 2y = -15 )</td>
<td>( 4x + 3 = -15 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-2y = 0 )</td>
<td>( 4x = -18 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( y = 0 )</td>
<td>( x = -4.5 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>So, ( x + (0) = -3 )</td>
<td>So, ( (-4.5) + y = -3 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x = -3 )</td>
<td>( y = 1.5 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The solution is ((-3,0))</td>
<td>The solution is ((-4.5, 1.5))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. What are the errors in each case?
b. Which student got the correct solution? How do you know?
### Communication: Highly Scaffolded

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Teacher:</strong></th>
<th>What multiplies to 8? 1 times 8 and 2 times 4, right? So, if I go with 2 times 4, which one of those is the square?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Student:</strong></td>
<td>Four.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Teacher:</strong></td>
<td>So that’s the one I can square root. When I square root that four, what does it become?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Student:</strong></td>
<td>Two.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Teacher:</strong></td>
<td>Good…and then what’s left inside still.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Teacher**: OK, interesting! Interesting! Joan, did you add your variables?

**Student**: I don’t know what to put for x.

**Teacher**: Well, apparently there is not agreement. What you think is best, and we’ll have a discussion about that. So, I’m seeing a lot that look alike, but Jonas and Mike, yours looks different. What do you guys want to say about that?

**Student**: (We put the) hip angle on the x-axis and the height on the y.

**Teacher**: What was your reasoning behind that?

**Student**: The height doesn’t depend on the hip angle. The hip angle depends on the height.
**Summary: Student-Centered Instructional Approaches**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics of Activities/Tasks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Focus on the “why” as well as the “how”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allow for multiple entry points and solution methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Challenge students to reason about mathematics by looking for patterns, making conjectures,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conducting explorations, examining connections between and among mathematical concepts, and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>justifying mathematical solutions/results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Make explicit the connections between mathematics and real-life experiences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encourage the use of different tools, including technology, to explore mathematics and solve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mathematics problems</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Summary: Student-Centered Instructional Approaches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Orchestration of Mathematical Communication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Focus on the “why” as well as the “how”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encourage students to justify and explain their solution strategies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encourage students to critique the mathematical reasoning of others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support students in advancing, but not taking over, their thinking as they engage in productive struggle with mathematics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elicit and make connections between different mathematical ideas and/or approaches to the same problem</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Philosophy, Context & Instruction

- Analysis of the interviews found that case study teachers who implemented more student-centered approaches to math instruction
  - Believe in the importance of these approaches for supporting success in mathematics
  - Work in schools that prepare students for a variety of life pathways
  - Have flexibility in lesson design
  - Have access to instructional resources that emphasize these approaches
Analyses of the focus group data indicated that

- Students appreciate teachers who
  - Are organized
  - Go out of their way to support student success
  - Help them to develop confidence in mathematics

- Students in more student-centered approaches to mathematics instruction
  - Find the content meaningful and interesting
  - Begin to like mathematics
Quantitative Findings

Kirk Walters
## Data Sources: Quantitative Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Nature of Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Challenging assignments</td>
<td>Examples of most challenging assignment offered to target class over a specified period of time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher survey</td>
<td>Frequency of instructional practices implemented with target class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student survey</td>
<td>Perceptions of their school and their experiences in the target math class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math problem-solving test</td>
<td>Publicly released items from PISA, an international assessment given to 15- and 16-year-old students</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Analytic Approach

- Created independent measure of student-centered instruction
  - Composite: teacher survey items + challenging assignments

- Created dependent measures of math problem solving skills and student engagement
  - Math problem solving skills: 9 public-release items from 2009 PISA; reliability = 0.76
  - Student engagement: student survey items
EXCHANGE RATE

Mei-Ling found out that the exchange rate between Singapore dollars (SGD) and South African rand (ZAR) was 1 SGD = 4.2 ZAR. Mei-Ling changed 3,000 Singapore dollars into South African rand at this exchange rate.

HOW MUCH MONEY IN SOUTH AFRICAN RAND DID MEI-LING GET?

On returning to Singapore after three months, Mei-Ling had 3,900 ZAR left. She changed this back to Singapore dollars, noting that the exchange rate had changed to 1 SGD = 4.0 ZAR. How much money in Singapore dollars did Mei-Ling get?

During these three months, the exchange rate had changed from 4.2 to 4.0 ZAR per SGD. Was it in Mei-Ling’s favor that the exchange rate now was 4.0 ZAR instead of 4.2 ZAR, when she changed her South African rand back to Singapore dollars? Give an explanation to support your answer.
Results

- Students in more student-centered math classrooms reported **higher levels of engagement**, compared to students in less student-centered math classrooms.

- Engagement included two sub-constructs:
  1. Students’ self-assessment of learning
     (e.g., “this math class really makes me think”)
  2. Students’ interest/motivation
     (e.g., “in this math class, sometimes I get so interested in my work I don’t want to stop”)

Results

- Students in all study classrooms performed well above the U.S. average on each item in study’s problem solving assessment
- Students in more student-centered math classrooms showed higher growth in problem-solving skills, compared to students in less student-centered classrooms
  - Statistically significant difference in a value-added model
  - Study not designed to measure magnitude of difference
Practitioner Reactions

Joanna Burt-Kinderman, Pocahontas County Schools (WV)
The core challenge

- We must shift the paradigm of teaching and learning math, and so transform a teaching culture.
A student-centered focus is key

- Learner-centered instruction maximizes problem-solving growth.
- Students must explore, reason and communicate.
Effective coaching mirrors effective teaching

- Teachers are learners with parallel needs:
  - Safe space, respectful community in which to explore
  - Support and input
  - Challenging problems posed
Doing math is messy

- **We need:**
  - Safe space to be wrong
  - To work through struggle
  - A community in which to collaborate
This research speaks

- **As invitation**
  - Into increasing key learning opportunities
    - Attend to why, communicate, connect, reason

- **As affirmation**
  - Of work that is often hard to package simply

- **As challenge**
  - Towards the complex work of increasing learner-centered instruction
Your questions
Next Steps

- Study shows promise of student-centered approaches in math and directions for future research
- Future work should involve active, collaborative partnerships between practitioners and researchers
- Nellie Mae and AIR both committed to this work
- Let’s keep working to improve what is known about this important topic!
Thank you!

Kirk Walters (kwalters@air.org)
Toni Smith (tsmith@air.org)
Kerstin Le Floch (klefloch@air.org)
Joanna Burt Kinderman (jburtkinderman@gmail.com)